

# ESU Schools' Mace Judge Summary Sheet

Scores are to be used as a guide during competitions. Scores should not be shared with others and should be disposed of at a location outside of where the heat is held.

<p><b>Format</b> - Speaker 1 Prop, Speaker 1 Opp, Speaker 2 Prop, Speaker 2 Opp, Floor Debate, Summary Speaker Opp, Summary Speaker Prop.</p>	<p><i>Reasoning and Evidence</i></p>	<p><i>Organisation and Prioritisation</i></p>	<p><i>Listening and Response</i></p>	<p><i>Expression and Delivery</i></p>
<p><b>Timings</b> - Each speaker's speech is 7 minutes in length. The first and last minute of each speech is protected time, (no points of information are allowed). The summary speaker's speech is 5 minutes in length. A knock will indicate when 1 minute has passed and 6 minutes has passed.</p> <p><b>Role Fulfilment</b> - Speaker 1 Prop is to provide a definition and a mechanism for the debate</p> <p><b>Remember</b> feedback should be constructive. Please use 'The Sandwich Method' as detailed in the Judge Handbook.</p>	<p>Relevancy of arguments, relevant empirical evidence to support ideas, analysed arguments from premise to conclusions.</p> <p>Speeches that score highly are very relevant and well-constructed, providing logical and persuasive arguments for their side.</p> <p>They work through from basic assertions, to well justified conclusions, making use of evidence that supports their case.</p> <p>Arguments are not only relevant and well analysed, but also must have their importance demonstrated, and a weight given in reference to other material in the debate.</p>	<p>Marked out arguments, signposted ideas, internal structure, ranking of most relevant ideas, preference of best ideas.</p> <p>Speeches that score highly are exceptionally clear in communicating ideas.</p> <p>They introduce the most relevant ideas and make use of them, without rushing through or introducing every possible relevant argument.</p>	<p>Rebuttal and points of information, relevance to arguments, level of response given, prioritisation.</p> <p>Speeches that score highly show a sharp ability to engage with the roots of the case presented by the opposing bench. Rather than tackling simply examples or evidence, they undermine the principles or concepts on top of which arguments are built.</p> <p>Speakers will aim to undermine the most important material in both rebuttal, points of information, and also their main constructive material. They will be flexible in their approach to the debate and will weight their own ideas in reference to the opposing bench.</p>	<p>Eye contact, hand gestures, stance, emotive language, rhetorical devices and questions, pacing.</p> <p>Speeches that score highly demonstrate a clear awareness of rhetoric and attempt to engage an audience.</p> <p>They make use of tools such as eye contact, hand gestures, and some emotive language to engage with the judges.</p> <p>They may make use of notes, but as a prompt, rather than to rehearse or read the speech.</p>
<p><i>First Proposition Speaker</i></p>	<p>15</p>	<p>10</p>	<p>5</p>	<p>10</p>
<p><i>First Opposition Speaker</i></p>	<p>10</p>	<p>10</p>	<p>10</p>	<p>10</p>
<p><i>Second Proposition Speaker</i></p>	<p>10</p>	<p>10</p>	<p>10</p>	<p>10</p>
<p><i>Second Opposition Speaker</i></p>	<p>10</p>	<p>10</p>	<p>10</p>	<p>10</p>
<p><i>Opposition Summary Speaker</i></p>	<p>10</p>	<p>10</p>	<p>10</p>	<p>10</p>
<p><i>Proposition Summary Speaker</i></p>	<p>10</p>	<p>10</p>	<p>10</p>	<p>10</p>